

attacking whatever you can get close within the hands of the people who want tax money for these programs. I still, again, this gives me the feeling of looking at this budget that we are supposed to be coming to terms with and knowing that this body will, not the people that are in here now will ever make the decision to cut spending. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Witek. Senator Robinson, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Madam President and members of the body, I stand to oppose the Beutler amendment. Just one comment on what Senator Witek said. I think she is sort inferring that someone that is already hooked up to the Internet, they wouldn't receive any more money. There is different levels. If they are, especially if they are in multiple building districts, they could be networked. It could be more than one building networked, and even after that, there could be additional things put in. So just because...and I think...I don't...I think there are very few, I am not sure of any building that is completely hooked up to Internet at this time, and have all their buildings. It is replotting old ground, but I will make it brief. What Senator Beutler wants to do, he wants to continue the \$200,000 bureaucracy that we have, and he says, what do we gain as a state? I will tell you what we gain as a state, easily to see. We are going to help young people. We are going to help young people with their futures. That is what we are going to do with my bill. Enough said, that's what the essence of the bill is. And with young children, Senator Witek, you ought to think about that. And I don't know if Senator...we were talk...Senator Beutler, we visited with him, he mentioned there would be funds taken out of the Education Innovative Fund for this, and we visited with him, and he said that he didn't realize that there would not be. So I just wanted to bring that up. Someone said, a senator stopped me and said, why should we pour all of this Weatherization Fund into old buildings? Well, I never thought about it. Think about that. I wonder how many old wooden buildings have had weatherization money put in them. And we talk about...we talk about grants, why don't we take the MIRE money. Everyone knows what the MIRE money, I remember two years ago, three years ago, when we sat down as an Appropriations Committee, we cut a hundred million dollars, and we cut the MIRE money. Maybe the MIRE money ought to be grants. I wonder how the cities would like that? I'll bet you'd have a lot of calls. Of course, Senator Beutler would only get one